

BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY



3 1761 10631618 5

CIVIL PROCEDURE II

John Sopinka

CASEBOOK - BOOK I

1979/1980

pp. 1 - 219



CIVIL PROCEDURE II

John Sopinka

CASEBOOK - BOOK I

1979/1980

pp. 1 - 219



Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2018 with funding from
University of Toronto

Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
<u>PART I - THE TRIBUNAL</u>	
(A) <u>JUDGE OR JURY</u>	1
(i) <u>Overview of a Trial</u>	
(ii) <u>Selecting Mode of Trial</u>	6
Civil Actions Set Down for Trial in the Supreme Court of Ontario April 1, 1977 to March 31, 1978	6
(iii) <u>What Cases Can be Tried by a Jury?</u>	7
<i>The Judicature Act, R.S.O. 1970, c.228</i> ss. 59-62	7
<u>Other Statutory Provisions</u>	7
<i>The Proceedings Against the Crown Act,</i> R.S.O. 1970, c.365, s.15	7
<i>Crown Liability Act, R.S.C. 1970, c.38, s.13</i>	7
<i>The Highway Improvement Act, R.S.O. 1970,</i> c.201, s.30(9)	7
<i>The Attorney-General for Ontario v. Cuttel</i> et al., [1955] O.R. 8	8
<i>Colquhoun v. Kitchen (1975), 4 O.R. (2d)</i> 376	11
Jury Notice	14
(iv) <u>Why Should you Select a Jury?</u>	14
Keeton, <i>Trial Tactics & Methods</i> , 1954, pp. 236-7 - "Should you request a jury trial	14
Cound, Friedenthal, Miller, <i>Civil Procedure</i> <i>Cases and Materials</i> (2nd Ed.) 1974, Ch.11, Sec.A., "Tactical Considerations in Deciding Between Trial by Judge or Jury	15
McRuer Report (Vol.2, pp.859-60) "Abolition of Juries in Civil Cases"	17
W.S. Martin, Q.C., <i>The Role of a Jury in a</i> <i>Civil Case</i>	18
<u>Who may be a Juror and How is a Jury Selected</u>	23
<i>Juries Act, R.S.O. 1974, c.63, ss.2, 3 & 4</i>	23
Edson L. Haines, Q.C., <i>Special Lectures of</i> <i>the Law Society of Upper Canada, 1959, 175</i> at 192 "The Jury, The Judge, The Case, and You," - Selecting the Jury	25
(v) <u>Role of Judge and Jury</u>	26
(B) <u>DISPENSING WITH A JURY</u>	26
(i) <u>Statutory Provisions</u>	26
<i>The Judicature Act, R.S.O. 1970, c.228,</i> s.62(3)	26
Rule 400	27
(ii) <u>Actions Traditionally Not Tried with Juries</u>	27
<i>Gerbracht v. Bingham (1912), 7 D.L.R. 259</i> (Ont.H.J.C.)	27
<i>Town v. Archer (1902), 4 O.L.R. 383, 389-390</i>	28
<i>Jaffray v. Sisters of St. Joseph of Sault</i> <i>Ste. Marie et al., [1966] 2 O.R. 304 (H.C.J.)</i>	29
D.H. Jack, <i>Jury Notices in Medical Malpractice</i> <i>Cases - Judicial Reconsideration of Older</i> <i>Authorities</i>	29(a)
(iii) <u>Complexity and other Special Circumstances</u>	
<i>Such v. Dominion Stores Ltd., [1961] O.R.</i> 190 (C.A.)	30
<i>Holland et al. v. Hallonquist (1968), 63</i> W.W.R. 297 (B.C.C.A.)	34
<i>Blackstone v. Mutual Life Insurance Company</i> <i>of New York, [1944] O.W.N. 227</i>	44

	<i>Kacprzak v. Duncan et al.</i> , [1950] O.W.N. 333	45
	<i>Forrester v. Loblaw Groceries Co. Limited</i> , [1955] O.W.N. 204	47
	<i>Byrd v. Metro. Toronto</i> , [1959] 2 O.R. 649	49
	<i>Cole v. Trans-Canada Air Lines</i> , [1966] 2 O.R. 188	53
(iv)	<u>Dispensing with a Jury at Trial</u>	57
	(a) <u>Complexity</u>	57
	<i>Martin v. St. Lawrence Cement Co. et al.</i> , [1968] 1 O.R. 94 (C.A.)	57
	<i>Ryan v. Whitton</i> , [1964] 1 O.R. 111 (C.A.)	59
	<i>Majcenic v. Natale</i> , [1968] 1 O.R. 189 (C.A.)	60
	(b) <u>By Reason of Misconduct</u>	69
	(1) <u>The introduction of inflammatory or improper materials into a trial</u>	69
	<i>Yachuk v. The Oliver Blais Company Limited et al.</i> , [1944] O.W.N. 412	69
	<i>Nag et al. v. McKellar et al.</i> , [1969] 1 O.R. 764 (C.A.)	71
	<i>Sdraulig v. Canadian Pacific Railway Co.</i> <i>Babudro v. Canadian Pacific Railway Co.</i> (1968), 66 D.L.R. (2d) 475 (Ont. C.A.)	72
	(2) <u>Mention of Insurance or Absence Thereof</u>	76
	<i>Theakston v. Bowhey</i> , [1950] O.R. 524	76
	<i>Loughead v. Collingwood Shipbuilding Company</i> (1908), 16 O.L.R. 64	83
	<i>Hellenius et al. v. Lees</i> , [1971] 1 O.R. 273, pp. 278-281	88
	<i>Hellenius et al.</i> (1972), 20 D.L.R. (3d) 369 Judgment of Court of Appeal aff'd in S.C.C.	90
	<i>Georgeson v. Nielsen</i> (1935), 260 N.W. 461 (Sup. Ct. of Wisconsin)	91
	<u>PART II - PRESENTING THE CASE AT TRIAL</u>	94
(A)	<u>BURDEN OF PROOF</u>	94
	<u>Note on Burden of Proof</u>	94
	<i>Sopinka and Lederman: The Law of Evidence in Civil Cases</i> , pp. 395-398	94
(B)	<u>SPECIAL RULES WHICH DISPENSE WITH OR FACILITATE THE PRESENTATION OF EVIDENCE</u>	96
(i)	<u>Formal Admissions as to Fact</u>	96
	<i>Copp v. Clancy et al.</i> (1959), 16 D.L.R. (2d) 415	96
(ii)	<u>Judicial Notice</u>	99
	<i>Sopinka and Lederman: The Law of Evidence in Civil Cases</i> at p.357 et seq.	99
(iii)	<u>Presumptions</u>	99
(iv)	<u>Inspection and Taking a View</u>	99
	<i>Chambers v. Murphy</i> , [1953] 2 D.L.R. 705	100
	<i>Meyers v. Government of Manitoba and Dubrowski</i> (1960), 33 W.W.R. 461	100
(v)	<u>Use of Court Appointed Experts</u>	100
	<i>The Judicature Act</i> , R.S.O. 1970, c.228,s.71	100
	Rule 267	100
	<i>Sopinka and Lederman: The Law of Evidence in Civil Cases</i> , pp.331 to 335	100

	<u>Page</u>
(C) <u>USE OF OUT OF COURT EVIDENCE</u>	102
(i) <u>Examination for Discovery</u>	102
Rule 329	102
2 Williston and Rolls, <i>The Law of Civil Procedure</i> (1970), pp. 888-889	103
(ii) <u>Examinations de bene esse and Commission Evidence</u>	104
Sopinka and Lederman, <i>The Law of Evidence in Civil Cases</i> , pp.464-465	104
(iii) <u>Letters Rogatory</u>	104
(iv) <u>Affidavits</u>	104
Sopinka and Lederman, <i>The Law of Evidence in Civil Cases</i> , p.465	104
(v) <u>Evidence Given in Previous Proceedings</u>	105
Sopinka and Lederman, <i>The Law of Evidence in Civil Cases</i> , p.466	105
(D) <u>ROLE OF COUNSEL</u>	105
(i) <u>The Opening Statement</u>	106
(a) <u>Purpose and Form of the Opening Statement</u>	106
Sopinka and Lederman, <i>The Law of Evidence in Civil Cases</i> , pp.466-467	106
Opening the Plaintiff's case to the Jury, B. Barry Shapiro, Q.C., <i>Special Lectures of the Law Society of Upper Canada</i> , 1959, p.199	106
Donald Dyer Real Estate Ltd., et al. v. Credit Mountain Land Co. Ltd. et al. (1975), 7 O.R. (2d) 449	116
(b) <u>Inflammatory Statements</u>	125
Dale v. Toronto Railway Company (1915), 24 D.L.R. 413	125
(ii) <u>Examination of Witnesses</u>	128
(a) <u>Examination-in-Chief</u>	128
Sopinka and Lederman, <i>The Law of Evidence in Civil Cases</i> , pp. 481 -482	128
(b) <u>Cross-examination</u>	136
(c) <u>Improper Questions</u>	144
Hey v. Huss (1957) 165 N.Y.S. 2d 159 (City Ct. of City of N.Y.)	144
R. v. Hawke (1974), 3 O.R. (2d) 210 at 229	145
Fox v. General Medical Council, [1960] 1 W.L.R. 1017	145
R. v. Bencardino and de Carlo (1974), 2 O.R. (2d) 351	145
(d) <u>Cross-examination on Previous Inconsistent Statements</u>	145
Sopinka and Lederman, <i>The Law of Evidence in Civil Cases</i> , pp. 505 to 508	145
Queen Caroline's Case, 1820, 129 E.R. 987	145(b)
The Ontario Evidence Act, S. 21 and S. 22	145(k)
(e) <u>Introduction of Previous Inconsistent Statements as Evidence</u>	145(k)
Deacon v. The King, 89 C.C.C. 1	145(l)
R. v. McNamara, Trial Proceedings, Series 46, pp. 6534-35, 6565-66, 6572, 6584, 6591, 6593-94	145(o)
(f) <u>Re-Examination</u>	145(s)

	<u>Page</u>
(iii) <u>Ethical Problems in the Conduct of a Trial</u>	146
<i>Meek v. Fleming</i> , [1961] 2 Q.B. 366 (C.A.) at 373-380 and 384-385	146
<i>Tuckiar v. The King</i> (1934), 52 C.L.R. 335 (High Ct. of Australia)	151
<i>Colpitts v. The Queen</i> , [1966] 1 C.C.C. 146, [1965] S.C.R. 739	152
Excerpt from <i>The New York Times</i>	152(a)
 (iv) <u>Contempt of Court and Trial Disruption</u>	 153
<i>Re Duncan</i> (1958), 11 D.L.R. (2d) 616 (Sup.Ct.Can.)	 153
<i>McKeown v. The Queen</i> (1971), 16 D.L.R. (3d) 399 (Sup.Ct.Can.)	 155
<i>Reg. v. Shumiatcher</i> (1967), 64 D.L.R. (2d) 24 Sask. Q.B.)	 167
<i>Rex v. Shandro</i> (1923), 38 C.C.C. 337 at 338	169
(v) <u>Closing Addresses</u>	177
Rule 255	177
<i>R. v. Shandro</i> , [1923] 1 W.W.R. 405	177
<i>Dale v. Toronto Railway Company</i> (1915), 24 D.L.R. 413	 177
<i>Turner et al. v. Fletcher et al.</i> , [1939] 3 W.W.R. 550	 177
<i>Gray v. Alanco Developments Ltd. et al.</i> , [1967] 1 O.R. 597	 177
Address to the Jury by Mr. Bowlby	178
Address to the Jury by Mr. Genest	183
 (E) <u>THE ROLE OF THE TRIAL JUDGE</u>	 190
<i>Connor v. Township of Brant</i> (1914), 31 O.L.R. 274	 190
(i) <u>Department at Trial</u>	190
(a) <u>Calling and examining witnesses</u>	190
<i>In Re Enoch & Zaretzky, Bock & Co.'s</i> <i>Arbitration</i> , [1910] 1 K.B. 327 (C.A.)	 190
<i>Rex v. Dora Harris</i> , [1927] 2 K.B. 587 (Ct.Cr.App.)	 192
<i>Fowler v. Fowler & Jackson</i> , [1949] O.W.N. 244 (C.A.)	 192
<i>Jones v. National Coal Board</i> , [1957] 2 Q.B. 55 (C.A.)	 193
<i>Boran v. Wenger</i> , [1942] 2 D.L.R. 528 (Ont. C.A.)	 199
<i>Briscoe v. Briscoe</i> , [1965] P.501	199
<u>Note on Excluding Witnesses</u>	201
<i>In re United States</i> (1961), 286 F.2d 556 (U.S.Ct. of Apps., 1st Circuit)	 201
Guideline of The Law Society of Upper Canada, <i>Communication by Counsel</i> with Witnesses Giving Evidence.	 203
 (ii) <u>Prejudging Case and other Departures from the Adversary Process</u>	 203 (b)
<i>Phillips v. Ford Motor Company of Canada</i> <i>Limited and Elgin Motors Company Limited</i> , [1971] 2 O.R. 637 (C.A.)	 203 (b)
<i>Tecchi v. Cirillo</i> , [1968] 1 O.R. 536 (C.A.)	218
<i>Felker v. Felker</i> , [1946] W.N. 368 (C.A.)	219